United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STAPES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/805,650	03/19/2004	Michael Borns	25436/2382	9645
27495 7590 10/29/2007 AGILENT TECHOLOGIES INC		EXAMINER		
P.O BOX 7599 BLDG E , LEGAL			STAPLES, MARK	
•	CO 80537-0599		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1637	•
		•		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	10/29/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IPOPS.LEGAL@agilent.com maria_carroll@non.agilent.com laurie_schutze@agilent.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/805,650	BORNS, MICHAEL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mark Staples	1637	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corr	respondence address
THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Apthis application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidat places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in cona Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must time periods:	vit, or other evidence, which npliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in to event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the notion of the period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the period for reply expires and the period for reply expires and the period for reply expires are the period for reply expires and the period for reply expires are the period for reply expires and the period for reply expires are the period for reply exp	ate of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FITTER TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	IRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply original set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	he fee. The appropriate extension fee lly set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CAMENDMENTS	void dismissal of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, wi (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reduce appeal; and/or	cing or simplifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally reject NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ed claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Comp	oliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, time non-allowable claim(s).	nely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	e entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11,13,15,19,25-30 and 40</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>12,14,16-18,20-24 and 31-39</u> .	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit of was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See	and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	y is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in the considered but does not place the application in the place the application in	ondition for allowance because:
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	
	Mark Staples Examiner
	Art Unit: 1637

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendment of claims 28 and 29 and submission of new claim 41 require further search and consideration for the newly required respective limitations of the increase and decrease in polymerase fusion activities being relative to wild type P. furiosus polymerase I in claim s 28 and 29; and the newly claimed extension time in claim 41 which is dependent from claim 29.

Furthermore, Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 First paragraph are not persuasive. Applicant argues that there is support in the specification for pH range of 9.3 to 14 recited in claim 3. Applicant only provides support for a pH range of 9.5 to 12. The pH range of 9.5 to 12 does not enable the claimed pH range of 9.3 to 14. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Applicant's arguments with repect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 are not persuasive. Applicant argues Wang does not teach or suggest the pH range of 9.3 to 14 since that the instant specification discloses pH's of 10 and 11.8, which are pH's which Wang does not teach. However the limitations of a pH of 10 or 11.8 are not recited in the claims. Furthermore, the claim limitation of the range of 9.3. to 14 is obvious, as Wang renders an alkaline pH range obvious by teaching alkaline pH. Achieving a range of akaline pH is obvious through routine optimization. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

10/24/07